Influence of Media on Children's Attention and Comprehension

David Bickham, PhD

Center on Media and Child Health (CMCH)

Children's Hospital Boston
Harvard Medical School
Harvard School of Public Health

Main Topics

- What we know about attention to TV
- How this understanding informs the creation of successful educational TV
- Bringing issues of attention to the age of new media
- Background media and Multitasking

How is attention linked to effects

- Attention is a prerequisite for learning
  - Necessary but not sufficient
- Most effects of media content are based on children learning from what they see
- What draws children's attention is therefore important to understanding what they will learn.
- The attention and comprehension link

Attention and Comprehension

- For learning to occur, attention is not enough
  - Viewers must also understand
- Relationship between attention and comprehension
  - Attention guides Comprehension
    - We learn what we attend to
  - Comprehension guides attention
    - We attend to what we understand

Attention guides Comprehension

- Formal features of television attract children's attention.
  - Perceptually salient features including rapid action, animation, music attract attention.
  - Learning of content can follow from attention.
- Reactive model
  - Control is from medium not from child
  - More appropriate for younger children
- Visual attention predicts visual comprehension
- Audio attention predicts audio comprehension

Comprehension guides Attention

- Children attend to what they find comprehensible (and do not attend to the incomprehensible).
- Active model
  - Viewer is cognitively involved
  - Attention is determined by the child's goals and agenda
- Normal vs. Nonsense language clips
- Formal Features still play a critical role.
  - Provide experienced viewers with information about content and comprehensibility
- Feature-sampling: children use auditory cues and scene transitions to reevaluate their current viewing decision.
- Evidence that children learn to use features in this way.
  - Kids who watch more Blue's Clues are better at knowing when to look at the screen –Crawley 2002

Integrating these approaches

- The viewing process is one that includes both automated and guided processes
- Formal features can elicit automated orientation
  - Younger viewers' attention is organized around salient formal features.
  - Older viewers "read" features to determine if the content presented will be comprehensible and interesting and don't just respond to salience
  - Decisions about current viewing status are reevaluated based on current goals, formal features, and content.

Traveling Lens Model

- Integrated model of attention and comprehension
- The peak of the curve denotes stimuli that receive maximum attention
- Truly difficult materials become challenging and then interesting.
  - Attentional inertia aids in exposure to difficult content
- Video games perfectly tap into this process by increasing the difficulty of tasks.
- Gee recognizes the teaching potential of video games

Educational Features

- To encourage verbal learning media content should supplement and reinforce the audio track.

- Visual imagery that is separate from the teaching method can interfere with learning.

- Repetition helps learning in TV.

- If content isn't embedded into the narrative, the story is learned but not the educational content

Educational TV

- Educational TV has been successful in part because of the understanding of how children watch and learn from television
- Our understanding of the process of watching TV has led to the creation of very successful educational TV

Educational Content

- Expertly produced educational TV with input from developmentalists can have
  - Short term effects
  - Long term effects

Attention in the age of New Media

- New theories are needed to explain attention to new forms of media

- Some aspects of television theory are useful others are not

- With television the audio track guides attention and young children's attention during play sessions

- Video Games demand 100% eyes on screen
  - Feature-sampling does not work with VG

- Interactivity increases attention (Calvert 2005)

Educational Features of Games

- Do certain features of VG make them inherently better teachers?
- Maybe
  - Traveling lens model
    - Good video games constantly challenge players with more difficult content.
  - Gee recognizes Video Games as excellent teachers.
    - The format transfers easily to educational content.
    - Not limited to young children—older children and adolescents are potential audiences as well.
  - More research is necessary to fully capitalize on the potential of Video Games as teachers.

Educational Features of Games

- Maybe Not
- Level of Interaction
  - Increases attention and interest
  - But does not increase learning either verbal or visual
- Interactive stories with non-central content can distract from learning
  - Storybooks with clickable extras are not as easily learned.

Attention in the age of New Media

- New forms of TV demand new attention to attention

- DVR and attention

- The feature-sampling method is expanded
  - Unignorable features force viewers to be active in deciding their next viewing choice
  - Programs stop and another one must be chosen
  - Silence and onscreen messages indicate the need for this decision.

Video Games May Enhance Attention

- Playing video games has been shown to enhance attentional visual capacity (Green & Bavelier 2003)
  - Children who played an action video game in a lab performed better on a visual attention task following the game.
  - Tetris did not have a similar effect.
  - The game required attention to be distributed and switched around the visual field.
- The tasked enhanced the children's ability to allocate spatial attention over the visual field.
- They concluded that "video-game training enhances task-switching abilities."
  - This training may allow them to excel in multitasking.

Background Media vs Multitasking

- Background Media use
  - Performing a task with media in the background
  - Media is not the primary activity
- Multitasking
  - More than one medium/activity at a time
  - Media can be primary activity
- In terms of effects these are theoretically the same
- Does performing a task alongside media reduce performance of that task?

Theories Supporting Problems of Multitasking/Background Media

- Limited Capacity Model or Capacity Interference
- TV viewers goals and interests guide the level of resources committed to viewing processes (storage, encoding, retrieval).
- Automatic allocation of resources (attention) is evoked through production type.
- Content and structural aspects can increase arousal which results in automatic allocation of resources.
- Too many required resources makes secondary tasks suffer.

Theories Supporting Problems of Multitasking/Background Media

- Structural Interference

- Similar tasks interfere with one another even if overall cognitive resources are not exceeded.

  - Multitasking using different media that are all verbal (reading vs. drama vs. other) may lead to more problems.

Theories Supporting Problems of Multitasking/Background Media

- Attentional Interference
- TV elicits automatic orienting responses
  - Draws attention away from other activities
  - Primarily applicable for younger children
  - Older children/adolescents will be drawn away from other activity when important/ interesting audio features are present

Background Media

- Two main areas of study
  - Very young children and TV
    - children can not comprehend content and are playing or doing something else while TV is on
  - Older children/adolescents and homework
    - TV is understandable

Background TV

- Very young children and Background TV
  - 35% of children 0-6 live in a home where the TV is on "always" or "most of the time" even if no one is watching. (Vandewater et al., 2005).
  - Background TV reduces the length of toy play episodes, results in less play overall and shorter periods of focused attention (Schmidt et al., manuscript).
    - Results were explained by TV eliciting orienting responses, looking at TV displacing play and interfering with individual play sessions.

Background Media

- Older children/adolescents and Background TV
  - 30% of young people talk on the phone, instant message, watch TV, listen to music, or surf the Web for fun "most of the time" they're doing homework.
  - College students who had a TV on when performing a reading task scored worse than no-TV groups (Armstrong, 2000)
  - 8th graders who performed pencil and paper and memorization tasks with the TV on performed worse than those in no-TV groups (Pool, Koolstra, & van der Voort, 2003).

Background Media

- Specific structure of background media may matter.
  - Soap Operas but not music videos
  - Other studies did not find a difference between drama and action
- Music while performing homework has no effect
  - Support for the Structural Interference theory

Multitasking

- Performing multiple tasks (usually multiple media) with no necessary primary task.
- 26% of media use occurs with another media
- Multiple media at once
  - But also multiple tasks on one computer (happens a lot online especially to talk to friends as they do other things)
- Very little research on this topic other than descriptions
- Results from studies of background TV and cognitive theory would predict poorer perfomance.

Multitasking

- It's been argued Multitasking doesn't exist
  - People toggle back and forth between tasks
- But users must monitor other forms to know when to toggle
  - The feature-sampling attention guidance theory of TV viewing provides an outline for how this happens
- At some level attention is being allocated to do more than one thing at a time
- More research is necessary to determine how multitasking affects development of attention and quality of all tasks performed.

Summary

- Theories of attention to television need to be revised and tested in terms of New Media.
  - Success of educational content depends on fully understanding the process through which children learn from and attend to media.
- Demands of attention to video games and differences in attention processes from multitasking may change the way children develop attentional skills.